UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/960,300	09/24/2001	Hiroshi Tsuda	826.1752	4780
21171 STAAS & HAL	7590 12/24/200 SEY LLP	EXAMINER		
SUITE 700		CHAMPAGNE, LUNA		
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3627	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/24/2008	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/960,300	TSUDA ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	LUNA CHAMPAGNE	3627		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 C 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 24-34 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) 28 and 30 is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 24-27,29 and 31-34 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	ndrawn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Setion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/10/2008 has been entered. Claims 24-27, 29, 31-34 are presented for examination. Claims 1-23 are cancelled. Claims 28 and 30 are withdrawn.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 29, 31-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101. Based on Supreme Court precedent and recent Federal Circuit decisions, a 35 U.S.C § 101 process must (1) be tied to a particular machine or (2) transform underlying subject matter (such as an article or materials) to a different state or thing. In re Bilski et al, 88 USPQ 2d 1385 CAFC (2008); Diamond v. Diehr, 450 U.S. 175, 184 (1981); Parker v. Flook, 437 U.S. 584, 588 n.9 (1978); Gottschalk v. Benson, 409 U.S. 63, 70 (1972); Cochrane v. Deener, 94 U.S. 780,787-88 (1876).

An example of a method claim that would <u>not qualify</u> as a statutory process would be a claim that recited purely mental steps. Thus, to qualify as a § 101 statutory

process, the claim should positively recite the particular machine to which it is tied, for example by identifying the apparatus that accomplishes the method steps, or positively recite the subject matter that is being transformed, for example by identifying the material that is being changed to a different state.

Here, applicant's method steps are not tied to a particular machine and do not perform a transformation. Thus, the claims are non-statutory.

The mere recitation of the machine in the preamble with an absence of a machine in the body of the claim fails to make the claim statutory under 35 USC 101.

Note the Board of Patent Appeals Informative Opinion Ex parte Langemyer et al.

http://iplaw.bna.com/iplw/5000/split\_display.adp?fedfid=10988734&vname=ippqcases2

&wsn=500826000&searchid=6198805&doctypeid=1&type=court&mode=doc&split=0&s

cm=5000&pg=0

Claim 26 is rejected under 35 USC 101 for claiming a "signal" which does not fall within one of the four statutory classes.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 24-28,29,30-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors.

All the claims recite "a first kind specifier, each specifying a first merchandise the customer". The language of "a first kind specifier" is unclear. Furthermore, there is a lack of antecedent basis for "the customer" cited in the phrase (except in claim 29).

The same rejection applies to the lines stating" a second kind specifier", a third kind specifier", "a fourth kind specifier", "a fifth kind specifier"

In claim 24/line 17, claim 27/line 20, claim 25/line 18, claim 26, claim 32; the language "identifying unwanted merchandise specifiers" is unclear. It is not clear whether applicant is identifying the merchandise or the specifiers. It is also unclear whether new specifiers are being generated or if they are still the old first through fifth kind of specifiers.

In claim 24/line 22, "records" lacks antecedent basis and it is unclear whether the terminology, "unwanted merchandise" refers to the same merchandise claimed in lines 17-18 or to separate distinct merchandise.

In claim 25/line 23, "records" lacks antecedent basis and it is unclear whether the terminology, "unwanted merchandise" refers to the same merchandise claimed in lines 18 or to separate distinct merchandise.

In claim 26, third before last line, "records" and "unwanted merchandise" lack antecedent basis.

Claim 27 is a system claim having method steps. It is unclear what the scope of the claim is in this hybrid format.

Page 5

In claim, 27, line 20, "unwanted merchandise" lacks antecedent basis.

In claim 27, line 23, the phrase "consolidated records" and "consolidation" lacks antecedent basis.

In claim 29, the terminology, "the identified merchandise is in possession of the customer" is unclear.

In claim 31, line 4, the terminology, "kinds of specifiers comprising" is unclear.

In claim 31, line 16, the phrase, "first through fifth record types" lacks antecedent basis. Applicant should positively recite a record type.

In claim 31, line 18, "identified merchandise" lacks antecedent basis.

In claim 32, line 2, "and possessed" is unclear.

In claim 32, "records" lacks antecedent basis.

In claim 32, last line, "the consolidating process" lacks antecedent basis.

In claim 33, line 6, "the possession specifiers" lacks antecedent basis.

In claim 33, line 17, the terminologies, "records for unwanted merchandise" and "related unwanted merchandise" lack antecedent basis.

Art Unit: 3627

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 24-27, 29, 31-34 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the

rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 101, and 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this

Office action; and any new amendments should not broaden the scope of the

limitations in the claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Luna Champagne whose telephone number is (571)

272-7177. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Florian Zeender can be reached on (571) 272-6790. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/960,300

Art Unit: 3627

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from

a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Luna Champagne/ Examiner, Art Unit 3627 Page 7

December 19, 2008

/F. Ryan Zeender/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3627